

CITY OF OSAGE BEACH BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

1000 City Parkway Osage Beach, MO 65065 573-302-2000 www.osagebeach.org

AGENDA

Regular Meeting

August 19, 2020 - 4:00 p.m. CITY HALL

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE JULY 15, 2020 MEETING
- 5. NEW BUSINESS
 - A) Variance Case No. 336 Robert Gresham
- 6. ADJOURN MEETING

Representatives of the news media may obtain copies of this notice by contacting the following: Tara Berreth, City Clerk
1000 City Parkway
Osage Beach, MO 65065
573-302-2000 ex 1020

If any member of the public requires a specific accommodation as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act, please contact the City Clerk's office 48 hours in advance of the meeting at the above telephone number.

PUBLIC HEARING FOR VARIANCE CASE #335 OF THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT FOR THE CITY OF OSAGE BEACH, MISSOURI

July 15, 2020

Call to Order

Chairman Randy Gross called the Board of Adjustment Commission of the City of Osage Beach, Missouri, to order at the regular meeting on July 15, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Planner Patterson stated the Agenda didn't list the Pledge of Allegiance but it will be listed for future meetings.

Chairman Gross asked that everyone stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll Call

The following Board Members were present: Randy Gross, Chairman, Karen Bowman, Louis Mayer, Gloria O'Keefe and Fred Catcott. Board members not in attendance: Larry Jones, alternate. Also, present City Planner Cary Patterson and Planning Department Secretary Pam Campbell. Capital City Court Reporting Court Reporter, Kim Murphy, was also present.

Minutes - 6/17/2020

Chairman Gross asked if there were any corrections or comments regarding the June 17, 2020 minutes. There were none. Board member Randy Gross made a motion to approve the June 17, 2020 minutes and Board member Gloria O'Keefe seconded the motion which passes unanimously.

New Business

Chairman Gross presided, read the Statutes of Missouri and read the criteria for granting a variance, went over the rules that special circumstances must exist and established that a quorum had been met.

B) Variance Case No. 335 Robert and Pamela Birkenholz

Chairman Gross called Case #335 and asked Planner Patterson if all legal requirements have been met.

Planner Patterson replied yes.

Chairman Gross asked if the City made a prudent effort to notify, by mail, adjacent and otherwise obviously affected property owners.

Planner Patterson replied yes, there were no negative responses and said City Attorney, Ed Rucker provided a memo stating he saw no problems with this case and to proceed at your discretion.

The Code Book and file containing Variance Case #335 were entered into the record as Exhibits 1 and 2 by Planner Patterson.

Chairman Gross asked Planner Patterson to please describe the Variance request.

Planner Patterson delivered the following report:

PLANNING DEPARTMENT REPORT TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Date: July 15, 2020

Case Number:

335

Applicant:

Robert and Pamela Birkenholz

Location:

1171 Summit Circle

Petition:

Variance from minimum setback requirements

Purpose:

Carport addition

Existing Use:

Single-family home

Zoning:

R-1a (Single Family)

Tract Size:

Approximately 13,000 sq ft

Case History Case #

Date

None

Utilities

Water:

City

Gas:

Summit

Electricity: Ameren

Sewer:

City

Access:

The subject property derives access from Summit Circle

Analysis:

- 1. The applicant is the owner of the property in question. The property is a platted lot designated as "Lot 4 of Summit Point Estates" and has the designated physical address of 1171 Summit Circle.
- 2. The applicants are requesting a variance to build a carport addition onto their existing home on the subject lot that will be 11.5 feet from the front property line. In the R-1a zone the minimum required front yard setback is twenty-five (25') feet.
- 3. The applicants have owned the property for five years. They are asking to build a carport over the existing driveway to provide cover for their vehicles. Severe topography and the

location of the existing home makes it difficult if not impossible to do an addition of this type that will meet the setback requirements. As you can see from the submitted site plan, the addition cannot meet the required setbacks so a variance will be required for them to get a permit to build. The applicant has submitted this request to the Summit Point Property Owner's Association for their review and they have approved the request. The letter of approval is enclosed in your packet.

4. Of course, if approved the addition cannot be built on or over any public utilities or easements.

Department Comments:

It of course is up to this board to use the facts in this case along with any written or verbal testimony and make the decision that is right.

If this request is approved, the following conditions shall apply:

- 1. The structure must be built according to the submitted site plan.
- 2. Any expansion or significant change in the proposal shall require Board of Adjustment approval.
- 3. A building permit must be obtained for the new construction and all other zoning codes be adhered to.

Planner Patterson asked if there were any questions for himself or the applicant and there were none.

Chairman Gross acknowledged the additional pictures provided by the applicant and asked the applicant to step forward if there was anything different or changed from the original request. The applicant stated there were no changes.

Chairman Gross asked if there was anyone else who would like to speak in opposition of the request and if so, to please step forward. No one stepped forward.

Chairman Gross asked if there were any staff comments.

Planner Patterson stated per the memo from the City Attorney, the case is ready for a decision, provided all questions have been answered.

Louis Mayer made a motion to approve Variance Case 335 and Fred Catcott seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, and Variance Case 335 was unanimously passed.

REPORTS:

Planner Patterson said there are no other reports at this time and if masks will be required at future meetings, we will let everyone know.

Adjourn

Fred Catcott made a motion to adjourn the meeting and Gloria O'Keefe made a motion to second the motion.

There being no further business to come before the adjourned the meeting at 4:13 p.m.	Board of Adjustment, Chairman Gross
I, Pam Campbell, Planning Department Secretary, for do hereby certify that the above foregoing is a true as the regular meeting of the Board of Zoning Adjustment held on July 15, 2020.	nd complete journal of proceedings of
Pam Campbell/Planning Department Secretary	Randy Gross/Chairman

PLANNING DEPARTMENT REPORT TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Date: August 19, 2020 Case Number: 336

Applicant: Robert Gresham

Location: 1444 Perch Ln.

Petition: Variance from minimum setback requirements

Purpose: Addition/ remodel of existing structure in a C-1 zone.

Existing Use: Current structure is unusable

Zoning: C-1 General Commercial

Tract Size: Approximately 3,500 square feet

Case History Case # Date

None

Utilities

Water:WellGas:NoneElectricity:AmerenSewer:City

Access: Property has frontage on Slope Lane, but access is derived from Perch

Lane

Analysis:

- 1. The applicant is the owner of the property in question. The lot is not a part of a platted subdivision and has an area of approximately 3,500 sq. ft.
- 2. The applicant wishes to remodel and build an addition on to the existing structure on the subject property. The addition will encroach to within 8.1 feet from the east property line and 8.82 feet from the west property line. The addition will also be built 15.14 feet from the rear property line. The addition will be placed in the current location of the existing structure, which is to be removed, and will not encroach further into the existing setback requirements than the existing structure. The remainder of the structure that will stay is also a legal non-conforming use as it encroaches well within the front yard setback. As the request is an addition to an existing legal non-conforming structure, a variance is required before the proposed construction can continue through the process.
- 3. The minimum required setback is twenty-five (25) feet in the front yard in a C-1 district.
- 4. Several structures in this proximity are built in violation of minimum setback requirements. Most are legal nonconforming, built prior to zoning code. The home at 1442 Perch Ln was granted a variance to rebuild a derelict structure in January of 2005 in a situation similar to that of the current request.
- 5. If this request is granted a variance from the setback regulations, a Special Use Permit to expand or alter a residential use in a commercial zone must then be granted by the Board of Alderman prior to a building permit being issued.

Department Comments:

It of course is up to this board to use the facts in this case along with any written or verbal testimony and make the decision that is right.

If this request is approved, the following conditions shall apply:

- 1. The structure must be built according to the submitted site plan.
- 2. Any expansion or significant change in the proposal shall require Board of Adjustment approval.
- 3. A Special Use Permit to construct a residential use in a commercial zone must then be granted by the Board of Alderman prior to a building permit being issued



CITY OF OSAGE BEACH BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT REQUEST FOR REVIEW

NAME OF APPLICANT:	Robert Gresham		
MAILING ADDRESS:	24100 US Highway 54 Pittsfield, IL 62363		
-			
TELEPHONE:	217-430-4594		
Attach legal description of from property lines with re Property is located at 1444 Heron Bay Condominiums	property to this application. Pleed paint, flags or stakes, indicated Perch Lane. Exit off Highway to Slope Road. Turn right on sessionewhat in the middle toward	o property. Include Lake Road and lot nume ase mark property in some way, i.e., distarting location of proposed variance. 54 at Nichols Road. Take Nichols Road passope road to Perch Lane. (circle drive) This is the water in between the brown house and	nce st
VARIANCE	FOR/FROM:		
APPEAL	FOR/FROM:		
List nar	nes and mailing addresses of t (Attach a separate s	he current adjoining property owners: heet if necessary)	
NAME COMPLETE ADDRESS/WITH ZIP CODE			
Terry Chartrand Diane Denny Marilyn Lamoureux Sherry Lynn Heide	412 Maple Leaf Ct 412 Maple Leaf Ct 8 Nagel Ct 5654 Slope Ln	Ballwin, MO 63021 Ballwin, MO 63021 St. Louis, MO 63119-4712 Osage Beach, MO 65065	

CITY OF OSAGE BEACH BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

1.	SIZE OF PROPERTY: see attach	ned legal description	*	
2.	SOURCE OF UTILITIES:	WATER: City of Osage Beach	GAS:	
		SEWER: City of Osage Beach	ELECTRIC: Ameren	
3.	PRESENT USE OF PROPERTY: vacation home			
4.	PRESENT ZONING OF PROPERTY: commercial			
5. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY APPLIED FOR A VARIANCE ON THIS PROPE .TY? no			PE .TY? no	
	IF SO, WHEN?			
6.	HOW LONG HAVE YOU OWNE	ED THE SUBJECT PROPERTY? Since Ju	une 5, 2020	
7.	PLEASE LIST ANY EXISTING front was built in 2002 – the bac	STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY AN k (old cabin) was built in the 1950's or 60	JD THE YEAR BUILT. 2 parts –	
8.	DO YOU OWN ANY PROPERTY	Y ADJACENT TO THE SUBJECT PROP	ERTY? no	
9. WHY, IN YOUR OPINION IS YOUR CURRENT SITUATION, AND OR THE EXISTING		THE EXISTING		
	SIZE /EXTENT OF THE USE N	OT ACCEPTABLE?		
	Currently there are no bedrooms are falling off the existing structur	in the property. The original section (old cre.	abin) housed the bedrooms. They	
10.	IS THERE ANY PARTICULAR I	HARDSHIP RELATED TO YOU OR YOU	JR PROPERTY OF WHICH	
	There are no sleeping quarters/be	edrooms in the current structure. There is	also no family room.	
	5 0=3			

11.	LIST SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ARE PECULIAR TO THE LAND STRUCTURE		
	OR BUILDING IN QUESTION AND DO NOT GENERALLY APPLY TO THE NEIGHBORING		
	LANDS, STRUCTURES OR BUILDINGS IN THE SAME DISTRICT OR VICINITY. None we are aware of.		
12.	LIST REASONS WHY STRICT APPLICATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE ZONING		
	ORDINANCE WOULD DEPRIVE YOU OF REASONABLE USE OF THE LAND, STRUCTURE		
	OR BUILDING IN A MANNER EQUIVALENT TO THE USE PERMITTED TO BE MADE BY		
	OTHER OWNERS OF NEIGHBORING LANDS, STRUCTURES OR BUILDINGS IN THE SME		
	DISTRICT.		
	Existing house is not safe to use as a residence.		
13.	WERE THESE SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES THE RESULT OF ANY ACTION TAKEN		
	SUBSEQUENT TO MAY 10, 1984? YES NO X		

Application Procedures. (Page 1 of this packet). The application for Variance and determine if sufficient Board of Adjustment. If the date is not adequate, the applicant for additional information. Only completed	date is contained to adequately describe e Administrative Officer shall return th	e the situation to the
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT: Rehart		
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER: Rolet	LL_	
Checks should be made payable to the City of Osag	ge Beach. Postage costs should be calcul \$330.00 filing fee.	ated and submitted with
STATE OF MISSOURI,		
COUNTY OF CAMDEN,		
Subscribed and sworn to me before me, the	15th day of July	20_20_
Notary Public Mahan	SALV DALII SEAAIST	
My Commission expires: (stamp)	M K PAHLMANN My Commission Expires June 29, 2021 (seal) Camden County Commission #13829472	
Fig.	Date Submitted	

NOTE: Applications must be accompanied by additional information as outlined in the Board of Adjustment

Osage Beach City Hall

Attn: Board of Adjustments

1000 City Parkway

Osage Beach, MO 65065



Osage Beach Board of Adjustments,

I have purchased property at 1444 Perch Lane with the intent to remodel and use as my family's vacation home. I chose this area because my brother has a home in this same housing division, the house is in a no wake cove, it's close to local businesses, and an easy drive from our home.

Currently there are two parts to the structure; a front portion (18x20) that was built in 2002 which includes a kitchen, dining area and bathroom upstairs and a small living area, bathroom, and laundry room in the lower level; and a back portion that is the original structure, assumed to be built in the 1950's or 60's which has been used for storage but is inhabitable for people. As you can see, I have not mention bedrooms. The bedrooms were in the back section. This structure is not livable and has not been used as such for quite some time. The additions that are on the original structure are falling off and pulling apart from said structure. In addition, the main floor is no longer level. It has been infested by racoons, insects and opossums. There is also a family of ground hogs currently living under the original structure.

I would like to demolish the back structure and rebuild a new structure (24x30) to provide a safe place for my family to gather. I am not looking to expand the current footprint but would like to be able to square up to the new part of the house. In my proposed plan, I intend to build three bedrooms and a family room to accommodate my family's needs. Rebuilding the structure would also get rid of the pests that are currently inhabiting the area. The community has voiced their excitement towards buying the house and rebuilding the dilapidated structure. This structure as it currently sits has decreased property values and has been an eyesore to the community for years.

I appreciate your consideration of my request. Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Robert Gresham

217-430-4594







336 Location Map Variance Case

PLAT OF SURVEY

